Adoption of Israel Agricultural System

696. SRI G.H. ASWATHA REDDY (Jagalur).—Will the Minister for Agriculture and Animal Husbandry be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the Government has any proposal to adjust the crop pattern taking into consideration the scanty rainfall of 10 to 15 inches in famine area ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that in a country like Israel where the rainfall is 4½ " farmers are getting full yield " of crop;

(*c*) if so, whether the Government has any proposal to send a study team to Israel to study their agricultural system ?

SRI N. CHIKKE GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture and Animal Husbandry).—

(*a*) The Department of Agriculture and U.A.S., Hebbal have planned the cropping pattern for different areas and have planned the cropping pattern for different areas and Agro-Climatic regions with scanty rainfall in Karnataka State and this is being implemented.

(*b*) Yes. Farmers in Israel are stated to be getting good crops under scanty rainfall.

(*c*) No.

2-00 P.M.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.

(i) *re : reduction of retirement age to the teachers*

SRI DHARMA SING —(Jewergi) I call the attention of the Minister for Education to the dissatisfaction caused to the teachers due to the reduction of retirement age from 58 Years to 55 Years.

SRI M. MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY.—(Minister for Education),—Sir, I wish to make the following statement :—

Reference to Government Order No FD 150 SRS 73 dated 17th November 1973 in the Call Attention Notice is, obviously, reference to the Karnataka Civil Service (Twenty second Amendment) Rules, 1973. These rules were issued in pursuance of the policy decision taken that the retirment age in the case of those for whom it was 58 years be reduced to 55 years. The reasons underlying the policy decision are well known, namely: to provide employment opportunities to the young educated and unemployed and also to bring about uniformity. It may be noted that the majority of persons in whose case the retirement age was 58 years was teachers. It is not correct that the said rules are, or were intended to be, discriminatory. The teachers allote dfrom the erstwhile Mysore State whose retirement age was also 58 years under the Mysore Service Regulations were not covered by these Rules. This was because. previous approval of the

Central Government as required by the proviso to section 115 (7) of S.R. Act was necessary to do so. Hence separate action was being taken in respect of such teachers. In fact, the Central Government's approval was obtained and amendments to the Mysore Service Regulations were issued on 30th January 1974 reducing the age of such teachers also to 55 years. The order suppplied in the case of retirement of teachers of " taken over " schools also.

Writ Petitions challenging the reduction of the retirement age as aforesaid were filed In respect of teachers other than teachers of the erstwhile Mysore State the Court upheld the validity of the reduction. The matter is pending before the Supereme Court, where arguments have been heard and orders are awaited.

In cases filed by the teachers of the erstwhile Mysore State the High Court, while rejecting all other contentions urged for them has held that the amendment dated 30th January 1974 as worded has not the effect of reducing the retirement age That is, the writ petitions have been allowed, so to say, on " technical grounds " Question of taking appropriate action in the matter in order to effectively implement the policy decision regarding reduction of retirement age from 58 years to 55 years is being actively considered. It is assured that necessary action will be taken very early.

ಶ್ರೀ ಧರ್ಮಸಿಂಗ್ —ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ತಾರತಮ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ; ಆದರೆ ಆದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಮೊದಲು ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಆದ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರುಗಳು ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ೫೫ ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ರಿಟೈರ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಏಜನ್ನು ೫೮ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ ; ಇದು ಕನ್‌ಸೆಷನ್ ಆಗಿದೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಸರ್ವೀಸ್ ಕಂಡೀಷನ್ ಅಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್-ವರ್ಸಸ್ ಪದ್ಮನಾಭಚಾರಿ ಕೇಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆಗ ನಮ್ಮ ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಆದ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರುಗಳು ಇದ್ದರು. ಅವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬದಲು ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ಭಾಗದ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಿಗೆ ೫೮ ವರ್ಷದವರೆಗೆ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರೆಯಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ತಾರತಮ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿದಂತೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.— ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೊಸ ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಧರ್ಮಸಿಂಗ್.— ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ರಾಜ್ಯಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಬರುವವರೆಗೆ ಇವರನ್ನು ೬ ತಿಂಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರೆಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆದು ಸರ್ವಿಸ್‌ಗೆ ಕೌಂಟ್ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಪೆನ್‌ಷನ್‌ಗೂ ಸಹ ತೊಂದರೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ; ಈ ರೀತಿ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ೧೮ನೇ ಆಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ೧೯೭೪ರಿಂದು ರಿಟೈರ್ ಆಗಲು ಯಾರು ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಡ್ಯೂ ಇತ್ತೋ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರೆಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಮತ್ತು ತಾ ॥ ೧೮-೧೦-೧೯೭೪ರಿಂದ ಯಾರನ್ನು ಸರ್ವಿಸ್‌ನಿಂದ ರಿಲೀವ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತೋ, ಅಂತಹವರನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಕನ್‌ಟಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದರೆ pending further orders on the matter ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಧರ್ಮಸಿಂಗ್,— ಯೂನಿಫಾರಂ ಆಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಡೆಯೂ ೫೫ ವರ್ಷ ಎಂದು ಮಾಡಲು ಇನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟುಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಈಗ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಯರ್ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಅವರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ಕಾದು ನೋಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

(ii) re : affairs of the Basic Teachers Training Colleges, Chikkanahalli, Sira Taluk.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA (Sagar) — I Call the attention of the Minister for Education to the affairs of the Basic Teachers Training Institute, Chikkanahalli, Sira Taluk

SRI M. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY (Minister for Education).—

I Wish to make the following statement ;

The present incumbent of the post of Superintendent, Basic Teachers Training Institute, Chikkanahalli, Sira Taluk is there since January 1974 On complaints made by the Trainees of the Institute that Superintendent was absent frequently without handing over charge of the hostel attached to the Institution. The Deputy Director of Public Instruction, Tumkur District, visited the Institute On 2nd January 1975 and found that the Superintendent was not present It was also noticed that the Superintendent was in the habit of applying for leave frequently. he has proceeded on leave without permission and also without handing over the formal charge of the Institution to the Senior Assistant. The first Assistant of the Institution. is looking after the working of the Institution and the hostel. The cash on hand, the hostel account register, and other important records were also not handled over by the Superintendent. The Superintendent of the Institutehad collected fees and other amounts from the Trainees of the I year T. C. H. which have not been accounted for. The Deputy Director of Public Instruction who visited the Institution again on 0th January 1975 could not conduct on enquiry as the Superintendent of the Insnitute was not present on that day also. The officer has applied for leave from 1st February 1975 to 30th April 1975.

After examining the reports of the Deputy Director of Public Instruction, Tumkur and Joint Director of Pubic Instruction, Bangalore action is being taken to institute disciplinary proceedings against the officer. Pending enquiry the officer has been placed under suspension.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.–ಈಗಾಲ್ಲ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಪಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಆದರೆ ಆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮಾ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಅವರು ತಿಂದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ತಾವು ಈಗ ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವ ಪ್ರಸಂಗ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಇದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ; ಯಾರು ಹಣ ತಿಂದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅವರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೆ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ಕೈಬೀಡುತ್ತಿರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಸ್ವಾಮಿ.— ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.